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| Highway Capacity Projects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  | Uodated funding veedromal Proect Cost |  |  |  |  | Poterentaf funding Opporuninies |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total cost of the project, escalated to } \\ \text { construction mid-point } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | Mees minimum lighlily re |  |  |  |  |  |
| Project ID | Region | TPR | County | Corridor: Project Name | Project Description | Phasing |  | roject Cost |  | Other Funding | Other Funding Assumptions |  |  | 采 | $\stackrel{\text { \% }}{\text { ¢ }}$ | ¢ |  |
| 11 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{l} \text { Greater Denver } \\ 1 \end{array}{ }^{\text {Area }}\right. \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Adams | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1-270: Widening } \\ & \text { from 1-76 to } 1-70 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Reconstruction of concrete pavement and replacement of bridges to improve capacity, safety, and economic competitiveness. |  | \$ | 398,774,000 | \$ | 165,000,000 | Potential toll revenue assumed in other funding \& Potential Local Match | x | x | x |  |  | x |
| 13 |  | ${ }_{1} \begin{aligned} & \text { Greater Denver } \\ & \text { Area } \end{aligned}$ | Jefferson | $\begin{aligned} & \text { us 6: Wadsworth } \\ & \text { Interchange } \end{aligned}$ | Reconstruction of the interchange at US 6 and Wadsworth. |  |  | \$68,151,000 | \$ |  |  |  | x | x |  |  | x |
| 14 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Greater Denver } \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | Douglas | US 85: Sedalia to Meadows Widening | Reconstruction of two lane roadway to four lanes with a divided median and acceleration/ deceleration lanes. Includes a 10 foot trail. Improvements are in accordance with an Enironmental Impact Statement (EIS) that was completed in 2002. | Project could be divided into phases: US 85 Sedalia to Daniels Park; US 85 Daniels Park to Meadows | \$ | 49,500,000 | s | 16,000,000 | Potential Local Match |  | x | x |  |  | x |
| 148 |  | $\begin{array}{r\|l} \text { Greater Denver } \\ 1 & \text { Area } \end{array}$ | Douglas | US 85: Daniels Park to Meadows Parkway Widening | Reconstruction of two lane roadway to four lanes with a divided median and acceleration/ deceleration lanes. Includes a 10 foot trail. | Could be combined with Project ID \#14 above to complete corridor. |  | твD |  |  |  |  | x | x |  |  | x |
| 15 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Greater Denver } \\ & 1 \text { Area } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Adams | US 85/Vasquez: I270 to 62 nd Ave. Interchange | The US 85: I-270 to 62nd Avenue interchange experiences high levels of congestion and crash rates. This project will improve safety and capacity by making the geometric configuration of the interchange more intuitive for drivers, adding grade separation, and improving access points. | Design to budget. Phasing and early implementation alternatives are being investigated as part of the PEL. Interim improvements will not preclude PEL alternatives. | \$ | 81,860,000 | \$ | . |  |  | x | x |  |  | x |
| 15B |  | ${ }_{1}{ }_{\text {Area }}^{\text {Greater Denver }}$ | Adams | US 85/Vasquez: I270 to 62 nd Ave Interchange completion | Reconstruction of the interchange at $1-270$ to improve the safety and capacity by making the geometric configuration more intuitive for drivers, adding grade separation, and improving access points based on a PEL study recommendation. | Interim intersection improvements proposed as first phase. This is the completion of full scope as identified in PEL with at-grade alternative. | TBD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { } \\ & 1 \end{aligned} \begin{aligned} & \text { Greater Denver } \\ & \text { Area } \end{aligned}$ | Jefferson | US 285: Richmond Hill to Shaffer's Crossing | The preferred alternative, as identified during the planning phase, includes widening US 285 to four lanes and building a depressed median, as well asacceleration and deceleration lanes at interchanges between Richmond Hill and Shaffers Crossing. |  | \$ | 70,576,000 | \$ | - |  |  | x | x |  |  | x |
| 121 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Greater Denver } \\ & 1 \text { Area } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Adams | US 85: 104th Grade Separation | Construction of a grade separated interchange at 104th \& US 85. The project will also grade separate 104th at the UPRR crossing just east of US 85 . |  | \$ | 102,310,000 | s | . |  |  |  | x |  |  | $\times$ |
| 122 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1Greater Denver } \\ & { }_{1} \text { Area } \end{aligned}$ | Adams | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { us 85: 120th Grade } \\ \text { Separation } \end{array}$ | Construction of a grade separated interchange at 120 th \& US 85 . The project will also grade separate 120th at the UPRR Crossing just east of US 85 . |  | \$ | 76,234,000 | \$ | 17,000,000 | Local match |  | x | x |  |  | x |
| 143 |  | 1 Greater Denver | Boulder, Weld, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SH } 7 \text { Corridor } \\ & \text { Improvements } \end{aligned}$ | BRT, commuter bikeways, managed/express lanes, highway and other multimodal improvements to be determined from Boulder to Brighton. | Design to Budget | \$ | 112,000,000 | \$ | 12,000,000 | \$12M Region 4 Surface Treatment funds. See MMOF SH 7 project for further details on additional transit matching funds. |  | x | x |  |  |  |
| 1438 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{l} \text { Greater Denver } \\ 1 \end{array}\right. \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { Adams, } \\ \text { Boulder, } \\ \text { Broomfield, } \\ \text { Weld } \end{array}$ | $\underset{\text { Improvements }}{\text { SH }}$ | Potential remaining projects. Specific improvements TBD. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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| 134 |  | 3 Northwest | Grand | US 40: Kremmling East and West Phase | Reconstruction and additional paved shoulder widening with passing lanes East and West of Kremmling. | Subsequent phase (not reflected in costs) includes additional improvements around Kremmling and improvements to Byers Canyon estimated at roughly $\$ 40$ M. | 21,002,000 |  | \$ . |  |  | x | x |  | x | x |
| 1348 |  | 3 Northwest | Grand | $\begin{aligned} & \text { US } 40 \text { Kremmling } \\ & \text { West } \end{aligned}$ | Reconstruction and additional paved shoulder widening from Kremmling East. | Phase 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  | x | $x$ |
| 135 |  | Grand Valley | Mesa | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SH 141B: Mesa } \\ & \text { County } \end{aligned}$ | Upgrade to roadway template and additional lanes from D Rd. to B $1 / 2$ RD for safety and congestion reduction. |  | \$ | 21,378,000 | \$ . |  |  | x | x |  |  | $x$ |
| 136 |  | 3 Grand Valley | Mesa | SH 330 : Safety | Safety improvements including adding/widening paved shoulders. |  | \$ | 20,000,000 | \$ |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |
| 137 |  | Gunnison Valley | Montrose | US 550: Safety | This project will improve intersections by restriping lanes, installing left and right turn lanes and realigning side roads to increase sight distance for drivers turning onto the highway. It will also install deer fence and guards to increase wildife safety and use CDOT's RoadX program and technology to increase widdife-vehicle safety in the mile-long passing lane in each direction. |  | s | 22,475,000 | \$ . |  |  | x | x |  |  | x |
| 169 |  | 3 Grand Valley | Mesa | $1-70$ and 29 Rd | Design and prepare for the construct of a new interchange on Interstate 70 to connect to 29 Rd. Total Project Cost column only reflects cost to design project. Local funds are necessary for project construction. |  | \$ | 10,000,000 | 5,000,000 | Local city/county match |  | x |  |  |  |  |
| 52 |  | North Front Range, <br> Greater Denver <br> Area | Adams / <br> Broomfield/ <br> Weld / Larimer | I-25 North: SH 66 to SH 402 (Segments 5 \& 6) | Expanding $1-25$ with an Express Lane in each direction and improving the CO 56 on-ramps to -25 , this project will provide trip reliability, safety mprovements and more for northern Colorado, and will do it about 14 years earlier than originally expected. Phase 5 and 6 | Design to Budget. Cost includes segment 5 (SH 66 to 56 ) and Segment 6 (SH 56 to 402 ) SH 7 to SH 66 (Express Lane) $\approx \$ 127 \mathrm{M}$ <br> SH 402 to SH 14 (replace interchanges and infrastructure) $\sim \$ 300 \mathrm{M}$ <br> SH 14 Inter $\$ 180$ <br> SH 14 to Wellington $\sim \$ 238 \mathrm{M}$ <br> SH66 to SH14 (GP Lanes 3+1) ~\$172M | \$ | 653,000,000 | \$ 100,000,000 | Potential toll revenue assumed in other funding as well as potential grants or other funding sources. | x | x | x |  |  | $x$ |
| 52A |  | North Front Range, <br> Greater Denver <br> Area | Weld / Larimer | I-25 North SH 402 to SH 14 (Segments 7 \& 8) | Project includes construction of bridges, other structures, and placement of 2 GP and 1 Express Lane on ultimate alignment to allow for simple widening to the ultimate $3 \mathrm{GP}+1$ EL configuration. Includes all ROW to accommodate ultimate configuration. Construction elements are in addition to items planned in existing project. | Project cost under review and refinement, which may cause the $\$ 80$ million "other funding" need to fluctuate a bit. | \$ | 330,000,000 | \$80,000,000 | Anticipated new federal grants and/or local match contribution | x | x | x |  |  | x |
| 528 |  | ${ }_{4}{ }_{4}^{\text {Gareater Denver }}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \text { Adams / } \\ \text { Broomfield } \\ \text { Weld } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { l-25 North: SH } 7 \text { to } \\ & \text { SH } 14 \end{aligned}$ | SH7 to SH66 (Segment 4)- Add one general purpose lane to meet EIS Rural template of 3 General Purpose Lanes +1 tolled express lane each direction |  | \$ | 127,200,000 |  |  | x |  | x | x |  | x |
| 52 C |  | North Front Range, <br> Greater Denver <br> Area | Weld | 1-25 North: SH 7 to SH 14 | SH66 to SH56 (Segment 5) - In addition to Ballot List \#52, Add one general purpose lane to meet EIS Rural template of 3 General Purpose Lanes +1 tolled express lane each direction |  | \$ | 30,000,000 |  |  | x |  | x | x |  | x |


| Highway Capacity Projects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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| Project ID | Region | TPR | County | Corridor: Project Name | Project Description | Phasing |  | roject Cost | Other funding | Other Funding Assumptions |  |  | 空 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 范 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | \% |  |
| 52D |  | 4 North Front Range | Larimer / Weld | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1-25 North: SH } 7 \text { to } \\ & \text { SH } 14 \end{aligned}$ | SH56 to SH402 (Segment 6) - In addition to Ballot List \#52, Add one general purpose lane to meet EIS Rural template of 3 General Purpose Lanes +1 tolled express lane each direction |  | \$ | 16,300,000 |  |  | x |  | x | x |  | x |
| 52 E |  | 4 North Front Range | Larimer | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1-25 North: SH } 7 \text { to } \\ & \text { SH } 14 \end{aligned}$ | SH402 to SH392 (Segment 7) - Construct to EIS Rural Template of <br> 3 General Purpose + one Tolled Express Lane, after the <br> Design/Build project is complete. In addition, construct new 125 / <br> US34 Interchange |  | s | 216,000,000 |  |  | x |  | x | x |  | x |
| 52F |  | 4 North Front Range | Larimer | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1-25 North: SH } 7 \text { to } \\ & \text { SH } 14 \end{aligned}$ | SH392 to SH14 (Segment 8) - Construct to EIS Rural Template of 3 General Purpose + one Tolled Express Lane, after the Design/Build project is complete. |  | \$ | 145,000,000 |  |  | x |  | x | x |  | x |
| 526 | 4 | 4 North Front Range | Larimer | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1-25 North: SH } 7 \text { to } \\ & \text { SH } 14 \end{aligned}$ | SH14 to SH1 (Segment 9) - Construct to EIS Rural template of 3 General Purpose Lanes plus 1 Tolled Express Lane, mainline reconstruction, interchange reconstruction, safety improvements, and Intelligent Transportation Systems installation |  | \$ | 261,500,000 |  |  | x |  | x | x |  | x |
| 53 |  | 4 Eastern | Kit Carson | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1-70: Replace Failing } \\ & \text { Pavement } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Replacement of ASR and HMA pavement and associated safety improvements for four segments between Limon and Burlington. | Design to Budget. Project could be divided into phases: MP 368-380 HMA Rutting / Cracking ~\$65 M; MP 380-395.1 Failing SMA ~\$85 M; MP 402-407 Failing ASR $\sim \$ 25$ M; MP 427-436.3 Failing HMA $\sim \$ 50$ M | \$ | 205,000,000 | \$ |  |  | x | x |  |  | x |
| 54 |  | 4 Uper Front Range | Morgan | 1-76: Fort Morgan to Brush: Phase 4 | This project will reconstruct I-76 east of Brush in Morgan County with the reconstruction of both lanes of eastbound and westbound I-76, the interchange at US 6 and two I-76 bridges (spanning the BNSF Railroad and Bijou Creek), that are functionally obsolete. |  | \$ | 41,200,000 | \$ |  |  | x | x |  |  | x |
| 55 |  | 4 Upper Front Range | Larimer | US 34/US 36 <br> Intersection in Estes <br> Park | Intersection improvements. |  | s | 2,000,000 | \$ |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |
| 57 |  | 4 North Front Range | Larimer / Weld | US 34: Widening | US 34 from Loveland to east of Greeley is currently being studied under a Planning and Environmental Linkages (PEL) study, and the changes outlined in that study are vital to the future transportation needs of the region, including interchanges, safety and access improvements. | Design to Budget. Project could be divided into phases: <br> MP 93.5-97.8 Widening ~\$25 M <br> MP 97.8-113.65 Widening $\sim \$ 170 \mathrm{M}$ | \$ | 90,000,000 | \$ - |  |  | x | x |  |  | x |
| 578 |  | 4 North Front Range | Larimer / Weld | US 34: Widening, Interchanges, and Operational Improvements | Widening of roadway from four to six lanes, and safety, operational and Intelligent Transportation System improvements not included in project above | Design to Budget. Project could be divided into phases: Interchanges \$90M Widening and safety improvements: $\$ 410,000,000$ | \$ | 410,000,000 | \$ . |  |  |  | x |  |  | x |
| 58 |  | 4 North Front Range | Weld | US $34 /$ US 85 Interchange Reconfiguration | Improvements to the safety and capacity of "Spaghetti Junction" interchange by making the geometric configuration more intuitive, adding grade separations, and improving access points. | Design to Budget. Project could be divided into phases - <br> Phase 1: Replace aging infrastructure $\sim \$ 113 \mathrm{M}$ <br> Phase 2: System to System connections $\sim \$ 50 \mathrm{M}$ | \$ | 113,000,000 | \$ |  |  | x | x |  |  | x |
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| 76 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Upper Front Range, } \\ & 4 \text { Uorth Front Range } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Larimer / Weld | SH 392: Corridor Improvements | Widening, safety, and intersection improvements. |  | 1110,000,000 | \$ - |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |
| 77 |  | 4 North front Range | Larimer | SH 402: Widening, Intersection and Safety Improvements | Widening, safety, and intersection improvements for Devolution. | Design to budget | 20,000,000 | \$ |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |
| 114 |  | 4 Upper Front Range | Morgan | 1-76: Fort Morgan to Brush Phase 5 | Project provides for the reconstruction of I-76 through Fort Morgan in Morgan County. The project will reconstruct both lanes of the interstate in the eastbound and westbound directions, reconstruct interchanges at CO 144, CO 52 (Main Street in Fort Morgan) and the Barlow Road interchange with new structures. | Design to budget | 65,000,000 | \$ . |  |  | x | x |  |  | x |
| 141 |  | $44 \begin{aligned} & \text { Greater Denver } \\ & \hline \text { Area } \end{aligned}$ | Boulder | SH 42: Safety and Intersection improvements including 95th St | BRT, commuter bikeways, pedestrian and other highway and multimodal improvements in Louisville and Lafayette with potential devolution. | Design to Budget | 27,400,000 | 500,000 | $\$ 500 \mathrm{k}$ in FASTER funds. See also transit MMOF SH 42 project for further details on additional transit matching funds not included in this row. |  | x |  |  |  |  |
| 170 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { Greater Denver } \\ \text { Area } \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Boulder/ Broomfield | US 287: SH 66 to US 36 | Full scope to be determined but may include BRT, commuter bikeways, managed/express lanes and other multimodal and highway improvements | Design to Budget. Project cost is an estimate to be refined. | 57,00,000 | \$ . | See MMOF SH 287 project for further details on additional transit matching funds not included in this row. |  | x |  |  |  |  |
| 171 |  | $4{ }_{4}{ }^{\text {Greater Denver }}$ | Boulder | US $36 / 28$ th Street and $\mathrm{SH} 93 / \mathrm{Broadway}$ | Operation improvements for multiple regional BRT routes | Design to Budget | 26,000,000 | \$ | See transit MMOF US 36/SH 93 project for further details on additional transit matching funds not included in this row. |  | x |  |  |  |  |
| твD |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l}  & \begin{array}{l} \text { Upper Front Range } \\ \text { / Eastern } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Morgan, <br> Logan, <br> Sedgwick | 176: R4 to Nebraska | Pavement preservation, safety, operational \& Intelligent Transportation System improvements |  | 400,000,000 |  |  |  |  | x | x |  | x |
| 78 |  | 5 San Luis Valley | Chaffee / Park | US 24: Safety and Mobility <br> Improvements on <br> Trout Creek Pass- <br> Phase II | Shoulder widening/bike facilities and addition of passing lanes and bike facilities on Trout Creek Pass. | Not scalable. | 7,742,000 | \$ |  |  | x | x |  | x | x |
| 80 |  | 5 Southwest | Montezuma | US 160: <br> Reconstruction and Shoulder Widening MP Oto MP 8 | Full depth reconstruction of the existing paved surface and shoulder widening. shoulder widening. | Scalable by mile. | 25,646,000 | \$ 6,000,000 | Surface Treatment |  | x | x |  | x | x |
| 81 |  | 5 Southwest | Montezuma | US 160: Towaoc | Addition of passing lanes and vehicle turnouts. | Design to budget. | 11,220,000 | \$ 2,200,000 | TIGER Grant for \$2m, and \$200k of already budgeted design funds. |  | x | x |  | x | $x$ |
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| 95 |  | 5 San Luis Valley | Saguache | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SH 17: Safety and } \\ & \text { Mobility Improvements } \\ & \text { Northof Mosca (Widen } \\ & \text { shoulders) } \end{aligned}$ | This project will widen the shoulders of CO 17 just north of the community of Mosca. | Scalable, multiple projects (3-4) could be completed. | s | 37,498,000 | \$ | 8,500,000 | Surface Treatment |  | x | x |  | x | x |
| 96 |  | 5 Southwest | La Plata | SH 140: New Mexico State Line to Hesperus | Widen shoulders and rehab/reconstruct three bridges. | Not scalable - there are 3 bridges that need widening | s | 10,000,000 | \$ | . |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |
| 97 |  | 5 Gunnison Valley | San Miguel |  | This project will construct a passing lane and wider shoulder on CO 145 between Sawpit and Keystone Hill for safety and mobility improvements. |  | \$ | 15,204,000 | \$ | 6,195,000 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Surface Treatment - } \$ .5 \mathrm{M} \\ & \text { RPP }-\$ 5 \mathrm{M} \\ & \text { FASTER SAFETY - } \$ 695 \mathrm{~K} \end{aligned}$ |  | x | x |  | x | $x$ |
| 138 | 5 | 5 Southwest | La Plata | $\begin{aligned} & \text { US 160: Elmore's's } \\ & \text { East } \end{aligned}$ | This project will complete the improvements consistent with the Environmental Impact Statement and Record of Decision, which includes widening, access improvements and wildlife mitigation. | Scalable. | \$ | 34,528,000 | \$ | - |  |  | x | x |  | x | $x$ |
| 150 |  | 5 Southwest | Montezuma | $\begin{aligned} & \text { us 491: Ute Farms } \\ & \text { Ditch } \end{aligned}$ | This project, in partnership with the Ute Mountain Tribe, will extend irrigation culverts on both sides of US160 in the southern part of the tribal property. | Not scalable due to size. Note: CDOT not constructing, only design \& const. reimbursement to UMUT. | \$ | 422,000 | \$ | - |  |  | x | x |  |  | $x$ |
| 151 |  | 5 Southwest | Archuleta | US 160/SH 151 Safety Mitigation | Extension of the westbound passing lane in both directions and the installation of two wildlife crossing structures along with wild life fencing. | Phasing possible. Wild life crossing structures could be phased. | \$ | 8,831,000 | \$ | 1,200,000 | Potential partnership with Southern Ute Tribe, CPW |  | x | x |  |  | x |
| 152 |  | 5 San Luis Valley | Costilla | US 160: Trinchera Safety Mitigation | Construction of an alternating passing lane in both directions and the installation of two wildlife crossing structures along with wildlife fencing. | Phasing possible. Wildlife crossing structures could be phased. | s | 15,602,000 | \$ | - | \$ - |  | x | x |  |  | x |
| 157 |  | 5 San Luis Valley | Chaffee | US 50/285 Intersection | RAB at intersection | Not scalable | \$ | 7,400,000 | \$ | . |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |
| 158 |  | 5 San Luis Valley | Chaffee/Fremo nt | US 50 Passing Lanes | This project will construct wider shoulders, correct tight curves and mitigate potential rockslide areas on US 50 east of Salida. |  | \$ | 8,432,000 | \$ | . |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |
| 159 |  | 5 San Luis Valley | Alamosa | SH 112 Asset Management | This project will resurface the existing pavement of CO 112 between US 285 and CO 17. | Design to Budget | \$ | 15,000,000 |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |
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| 172 | 5 | Southwest | Montezuma | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { US } 160 \\ \text { Improvements } \\ \text { Cortez Partnership } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Improvements to US 160 in Cortez that may include medians, access improvements, mobility improvements and surface treatment | fixed CDOT contribution, design to budget | 4,000,000 | 2,000,000 | Cortez expected match |  | x |  |  |  |  |
| 173 |  | Southwest | La Plata | US 160 Safety and Mobility Improvements CR 225 to Dry Creek |  | Scalable, smaller projects could be completed over time. | \$ 21,000,000 | - |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |
| TBD | 5 | Southwest | La Plata | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \hline \text { us } 550 \text { Underpass } \\ \text { Durango } \\ \text { Partnership } \end{array}$ | Provide pedestrian underpass | Fixed CDOT contribution, design to budget | \$ 4,000,000 | \$ 2,000,000 | Durango expected match |  | x |  |  |  |  |
| твD | statewide | Multiple | Multiple | Statewide Programs | Safety Shoulders, Rest Area Restoration, Small Freight Projects \& Truck Parking, Wild life Crash Mitigation | Design Projects to Budget | \$220,000,000 | \$ |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |



| Statewide Program - Asset Management |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  | Updated Funding Need/Total Project Cost  <br> project, escalated to <br> 年 mid-point Significant other funds anticipated, which reduces the identified funding need <br>   |  |  | Potential Funding Opportunities Meets minimum eligibility requirements |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Project ID | Region | TPR | County | Project Name | Project Description | Phasing | Total Project Cost | Other Funding | Other Funding Assumptions |  | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\sim}{\tilde{\sim}}}{\substack{2}}$ |  | $\stackrel{\text { \% }}{\text { ¢ }}$ | ¢ |  |
| TBD | 1 | Denver Area | Clear Creek County, Jefferson County | I-70: I-70, Floyd Hill to JCR-93 Surface Treatment | Surface Treatment |  | \$ 25,804,350 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TBD | 1 | Denver Area | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Jefferson } \\ \text { County, } \\ \text { Denver County } \end{array}$ | 1-70: $1-70$, <br> Wadsworth Blve. to <br> Pecos St./l-25 <br> Surface Treatment | Surface Treatment |  | \$ 32,522,583 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TBD | 2 | South Central | Huerfano County | I-25: Butte Creek North to North of Pueblo County Line Surface Treatment | Surface Treatment |  | \$ 25,254,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TBD | 2 | Pikes Peak Area | El Paso County | I-25: I-25 South Academy South Surface Treatment | Surface Treatment |  | \$ 32,000,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TBD | 2 | Southeast | Kiowa County | SH 96: Jct 287 to Kansas State Line Surface Treatment | Surface Treatment |  | \$ 26,500,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TBD | 2 | Central Front Range | Fremont County, EI Paso County | SH 115: West of El Paso County Line to Rock Creek Surface Treatment | Surface Treatment |  | \$ 38,326,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TBD | 2 | South Central | Las Animas County | SH 160: SH 160 Jct 109 East to Jct US 287 Surface Treatment | Surface Treatment |  | \$ 43,656,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TBD | 2 | Pikes Peak Area | El Paso County | US 24: US 24 East of Falcon to EI Paso/Lincoln County Line Surface Treatment | Surface Treatment |  | \$ 26,033,113 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TBD | 2 | Southeast | Prowers County | US 287: Prowers CR 8 North to Pearl Street Surface Treatment | Surface Treatment |  | \$ 35,765,978 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Statewide Program－Asset Management |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Updated Funding Need／Total Project Cost <br> Significant other funds anticipated，which reduces the identified funding need |  | Potential Funding Opportunities <br> Meets minimum eligibility requirements |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total cost of the project，escalated to construction mid－point <br> Total Project Cost |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Project ID | Region | TPR | County | Project Name | Project Description | Phasing |  | Other Funding | Other Funding Assumptions |  | ¢ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\text { 世 }}{\underline{4}} \end{aligned}$ | 毞 | 丕 |  |
| TBD | 3 | Grand Valley | Mesa County | I－70：Collapsible Soils （Location：Rifle to DeBeque） | Geohazards |  | \＄21，159，756 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TBD | 3 | Intermountain | Garfield County | I－70：Rockslide／Rockfall （Location：Glenwood Canyon Rock Shed） | Geohazards |  | \＄50，000，000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TBD | 3 | Intermountain | Garfield County | I－70：Westbound Glenwood Canyon Ph 7，Quadrant 2 PCCP \＆PCP | Surface Treatment |  | \＄82，467，550 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TBD | 3 | Intermountain | Garfield County | I－70：Eastbound Glenwood Canyon Ph 8，Quadrant 3 PCCP \＆PCP | Surface Treatment |  | \＄78，638，700 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TBD | 3 | Intermountain | Eagle County | I－70：Westside Vail <br> Pass Surface <br> Treatment | Surface Treatment |  | 24，816，400 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TBD | 3 | Intermountain | Garfield County | SH139：SH 139 <br> Douglass Pass <br> Surface Treatment | Surface Treatment－Phase 2－Excluding MP 18．5－31 |  | \＄30，503，974 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TBD | 4 | Eastern | Lincoln County | I－70：Genoa East and West Surface Treatment | Surface Treatment |  | \＄64，100，000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TBD | 4 | Eastern | Lincoln County | I－70：I－70 SMA at Arriba Rehabilitation Westbound | Surface Treatment |  | \＄41，450，000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| тв | 4 | Eastern | Lincoln County | I－70：I－70 SMA at Arriba Rehabilitation Eastbound | Surface Treatment |  | \＄41，260，000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Statewide Program - Asset Management |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Udodeded Funding Need/Toral Project cost |  |  | Potential Funding opportuntites |  |  |  |  |  |
| Project Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Significant othe f fund s anticipated, whicr reduces the identifed dunding need |  | Meets minimum elibiblyr rear |  |  |  |  |  |
| Project ID | Region | TPR | County | Project Name | Project Description | Phasing | Total Project Cost | Other Funding | Other Funding Assumptions |  | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}}{\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 蓶 } \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{\text { ¢ }}$ | ¢ |  |
| TBD | 4 | Eastern | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Kit Carson } \\ & \text { County } \end{aligned}$ | I-70: Burlington West, Eastbound and Westbound Surface Treatment | Surface Treatment |  | \$ 48,288,676 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TBD | statewide |  |  | Statewide Fire/Life <br> Safety <br> Improvements | Tunnels |  | 22,332,090 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TBD | Statewide |  |  | Unmanned Tunnels Lighting <br> Replacement | Tunnels |  | \$ 26,552,700 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TBD | Statewide |  |  | Statewide <br> Replacement of Ramp Metering System | Signals - 200 ramp meters, mostly located in the Denver Metro area |  | \$ 50,000,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TBD | Statewide |  |  | Statewide <br> Replacement of Traffic Signals in Poor or Severe Condition | Signals |  | \$ 115,000,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Project Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  | Iotal Poedet cost |  |  | IFunding Opoorunties |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project ID | Region | TPR | County | Project Name | Project Description | Notes | Total Project Cost | Other Funding | Other Funding Assumptions |  | $\underset{\sim}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\sim}}$ |  | 寽 | 을 |  |
| T80 | State- wide | Multiple | Multiple | Fiber \& Technology | Provide funds for fiber and technology improvements to corridors already on the list. Provide funds for stand-along fiber and technology projects. Support the Roadx program to prepare Colorado for new transportation technologies | Design Projects to Budget | \$120,000,000 | \$ - | Potential P3s, not quantified |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| тв | Region 1 | DRCOG | Jefferson | US 285 Intelligent Transportation Systems Infrastructure | Installation of fiber-optics and ITS devices between C470 and Kipling | Identified in the Region ITS Strategic Implementation Plan and Statewide ITS Planning Efforts. | 1,500,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| тво | Region 1 | drCog | Jefferson | C-470 Intelligent <br> Transportation <br> Systems <br> Infrastructure | Installation of fiber-optics and ITS devices between US 85 and SH 83 | Identified in the Region ITS Strategic Implementation Plan and Statewide ITS Planning Efforts. | 1,50,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TB0 | Region 1 | DRCOG | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { Adams, Arapahoe, } \\ \text { Boulder, } \\ \text { Broomfield, Denver, } \\ \text { Douglas, Jefferson } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Intelligent Ramp Metering Upgrades | Upgrading ramp metering data collection and systems on freeways in Region 1. | Smart Mobility Plan will provide refined priorities for implementation. | \$50,000,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| T80 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Region } 1 \\ & \text { and 2 } \end{aligned}$ | drcog, PPACOG | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Douglas, EI } \\ & \text { Paso } \end{aligned}$ | SH 83 Intelligent Transportation Systems Infrastructure | Installation of fiber-optics and ITS devices between Franktown and North Gate Road in Colorado Springs | Identified in the Region ITS Strategic Implementation Plan and Statewide ITS Planning Efforts. | \$18,000,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TB0 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Region } 1 \\ & \text { and } 3 \end{aligned}$ | Central Front Range TPR, DRCOG | Park, Jefferson | US 285 Intelligent Transportation Systems Infrastructure | Installation of fiber-optics and ITS devices between Tiny Town and Fairplay | Identified in the Region ITS Strategic Implementation Plan and Statewide ITS Planning Efforts. | \$40,000,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| T80 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Region } 1 \\ & \text { and } 3 \end{aligned}$ | DRCOG, Northwest TPR | Clear Creek and Grand | US 40 Intelligent Transportation Systems Infrastructure | Installation of fiber-optics and ITS devices between I-70 and Kremmling | Identified in the Region ITS Strategic Implementation Plan and Statewide ITS Planning Efforts. | \$30,000,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TB0 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Region } 1 \\ & \text { and } 4 \end{aligned}$ | DRCOG | Denver, <br> Broomfield, <br> Boulder | US 287 Intelligent Transportation Systems Infrastructure | Installation of fiber-optics and ITS devices between Denver and Longmont | Identified in the Region ITS Strategic Implementation Plan and Statewide ITS Planning Efforts. | 5,000,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| T80 | Region 1, others | DRCOG, North Front Range MPO, Upper Front Range others TBD | Adams, Arapahoe, <br> Boulder, <br> Broomfield, Denver, <br> Douglas, Jefferson, <br> Larimer, Weld, <br> others TBD | Adaptive Traffic Signals | Deploying Adaptive Traffic Signals on arterials in Region 1 with strategic deployments in other Regions. | A study underway and the Smart Mobility Plan will provide refined priorities for implementation. | \$15,000,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ז80 | Region 2 | PACOG, Southeast TPR | Pueblo, <br> Crowley, Bent, <br> Prowers | US 50 Intelligent Transportation Systems Infrastructure | Installation of fiber-optics and ITS devices between Pueblo and | Identified in the Region ITS Strategic Implementation Plan and Statewide ITS Planning Efforts. | 27,000,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| т80 | Region 2 | PPACG | Teller, El Paso | US 24 Intelligent Transportation Systems Infrastructure | Installation of ITS devices between I-25 and Woodland Park | Identified in the Region ITS Strategic Implementation Plan and Statewide ITS Planning Efforts. | 2,000,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TBO | Region 2 | PPACG, Central Front Range TPR, Eastern TPR | El Paso, Elbert Lincoln | US 24 Intelligent Transportation Systems infrastructure | Installation of fiber-optics and ITS devices between Colorado Springs and Limon | Identified in the Region ITS Strategic Implementation Plan and Statewide ITS Planning Efforts. | \$11,000,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| T80 | Region 2 | South Central TPR | Las Animas | I-25 Intelligent <br> Transportation <br> Systems <br> Infrastructure | Installation of fiber-optics and ITS devices between Aguilar and New Mexico border | Identified in the Region ITS Strategic Implementation Plan and Statewide ITS Planning Efforts. | 10,000,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Project Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  | Uodate funding Need/ fotaproject cost |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Shinimum eligibiliy reauremens |  |  |  |  |  |
| Project ID | Region | TPR | County | Project Name | Project Description | Notes | Total Project Cost | Other Funding | Other Funding Assumptions |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { è } \\ \text { en } \end{gathered}$ | 芘 | 钲 | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{4}$ |  |
| TBD | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Region } 2 \\ & \text { and } 5 \end{aligned}$ | Central Front Range TPR, San Louis Valley | Rio Grande, <br> Saguache, <br> Chaffee, Park | US 285 Intelligent Transportation Systems Infrastructure | Installation of fiber-optics and ITS devices between Fairplay and Monte Vista | Identified in the Region ITS Strategic Implementation Plan and Statewide ITS Planning Efforts. | \$45,000,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TB0 | Region 3 | Central Front Range TPR, Intermountain TPR | Park, Summit | SH 9 Intelligent Transportation Systems Infrastructure | Installation of fiber-optics and ITS devices between Fairplay and Breckenridge | Identified in the Region ITS Strategic Implementation Plan and Statewide ITS Planning Efforts. | 19,000,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| T80 | Region 3 | Intermountain TPR, Grand Valley TPR | Mesa, Garfield | I-70 Intelligent Transportation Systems Infrastructure | Installation of fiber-optics and ITS devices between Glenwood Springs and the Utah border | Identified in the Region ITS Strategic Implementation Plan and Statewide ITS Planning Efforts. | \$47,000,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| T80 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \text { Region } 3 \\ \text { and 4 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Grand Valley TPR, } \\ & \text { North Front Range } \\ & \text { MPO } \end{aligned}$ | Mesa, Weld | Traffic Management <br> Centers | New TMCS in Region 4 and Regions 3 | Identified in Region ITS Strategic Implementation Plans. Smart Mobility Plan will provide refined priorities for implementation. | \$30,000,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| т80 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Region } 3 \\ & \text { and } 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Southwest TPR, } \\ & \text { Gunnison Valley } \\ & \text { TPR } \end{aligned}$ | Gunnison, Ouray, San Juan | US 550 Intelligent <br> Transportation <br> Systems <br> Infrastructure | Installation of fiber-optics and ITS devices between Montrose and Silverton | Identified in the Region ITS Strategic Implementation Plan and Statewide ITS Planning Efforts. | \$30,000,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| T80 | Region 3, Gunnison Valley | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Grand Valley TPR, } \\ & \text { Gunnison Valley } \\ & \text { TPR } \end{aligned}$ | Montrose, Delta, Mesa | US 50 Intelligent Transportation Systems Infrastructure | Installation of fiber-optics and ITS devices between I-70 and | Identified in the Region ITS Strategic Implementation Plan and Statewide ITS Planning Efforts. | \$30,000,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| T80 | Region 4 | North Front Range MPO, Upper Front Range TPR, Eastern TPR | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Larimer, Weld, } \\ & \text { Logan } \end{aligned}$ | SH 14 Intelligent Transportation Systems Infrastructure | Installation of fiber-optics and ITS devices between Fort Collins and Sterling | Identified in the Region ITS Strategic Implementation Plan and Statewide ITS Planning Efforts. | 30,000,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| т80 | Region 4 | Upper Front Range TPR, Eastern TPR | Weld, Morgan, <br> Washington, <br> Logan, <br> Sedgwick | I-76 Intelligent Transportation Systems Infrastructure | Installation of fiber-optics and ITS devices between Hudson and the State Line | Identified in the Region ITS Strategic Implementation Plan and Statewide ITS Planning Efforts. | \$40,000,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| T80 | Region 5 | Gunnison Valley TPR | Ouray, San Miguel | SH 62 Intelligent Transportation Systems Infrastructure | Installation of fiber-optics and ITS devices between Ridgeway SH 145 and US 550 | Identified in the Region ITS Strategic Implementation Plan and Statewide ITS Planning Efforts. | 6,000,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| T80 | Region 5 | Southwest TPR, San Louis Valley TPR | Montezuma, Archuleta, Mineral | US 160 Intelligent Transportation Systems Infrastructure | Installation of fiber-optics and ITS devices between Durango and Wolf Creek Tunnel | Identified in the Region ITS Strategic Implementation Plan and Statewide ITS Planning Efforts. | \$27,000,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| т80 | Region 5 | SWTPR | Montezuma | US 550 Intelligent Transportation Systems Infrastructure | Installation of fiber-optics and ITS devices between Durango and New Mexico border | Identified in the Region ITS Strategic Implementation Plan and Statewide ITS Planning Efforts. | 5,000,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| T80 | Statewide | Statewide | Statewide | RoadX Panasonic Connected Vehicle (V2X) Ecosystem | Data platform and systems integration to support connected vehicle communications and applications. Provides real-time roadway conditions to passenger and commercial vehicles. | Existing project; funding for all project phases has yet to be identified. | \$50,000,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| T80 | Statewide | TBD | TBD | Connected/Autono mous Vehicle (CV/AV) Network on Corridors with Existing Fiber | Dedicated Short Range Communication (DSRC) roadside units and cellular infrastructure to support vehicle-to-vehicle (V21) communications and safety \& mobility applications. | Smart Mobility Plan will provide refined priorities for implementation. | \$51,195,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Statewide Program - Mobility Operations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  | Updated Funding Need/Totat Project Cost <br> Significant other funds anticipated, which reduces the identified funding need |  |  | Potential Funding OpportunitiesMeets minimum eligibility requirements |  |  |  |  |  |
| Project ID | Region | TPR | County | Project Name | Project Description | Notes | Total Project Cost | Other Funding | Other Funding Assumptions |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { ¢ } \\ \text { en } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 䓵 } \end{aligned}$ | 㐍 | $\frac{9}{4}$ |  |
| T80 | Statewide | TBD | TBD | Statewide: Adding fiber to ballot list roadway projects from 5 Regions | Costs to add fiber optics and conduit to projects on the roadway ballot list. The funds are dedicated for fiber optic line only. This does not include devices. | Identified in the Region ITS Strategic Implementation Plan and Statewide ITS Planning Efforts. | \$26,805,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| T80 | Statewide | TBD | TBD | Connecting Traffic Signals to Fiber Network | Installing fiber optics on signalized corridors. | Smart Mobility Plan will provide refined priorities for implementation. | \$25,000,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| T80 | Statewide | TBD | TBD | Bottleneck Reduction Projects | Low cost, high benefit projects to reduce delays and improve safety at identified bottleneck locations. | Projects are from the Statewide Bottleneck Reduction project list. | \$52,000,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| T80 | TBD | TBD | TBD | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RoadX Rural Safety } \\ & \text { Solutions } \end{aligned}$ | Identify new technology solutions to address rural safety issues like animal vehicle collisions and run off the road crashes. | Projects identified through coordination with Regions and industry partners. | \$5,000,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| т80 | TBD | TBD | TBD | RoadX Smart Infrastructure | New technologies to improve safety and reduce delay, like smart pavement, in-pavement lighting, and dynamic lane utilization. | Projects to be identified through coordination with Regions and industry partners. | \$20,000,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Potential Funding OpportunitiesMeets minimum eligibility requirements |  |  |  |  |  |
| Project ID | Region | TPR | County | Project Name | Project Description | Phasing | Total Project Cost | Other Funding | Other Funding Assumptions |  | $\stackrel{\text { den }}{\substack{0}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 芘 } \end{aligned}$ | 药 | ¢ |  |
| TB0 | Statewide | Multiple | Multiple | ADA Sidewalks \& Bicycle/ Pedestrian | Colorado has a list of pedestrian sidewalks along state highways that are not in compliance with federal standards. These funds will complete the projects that it will take for Colorado to come into federal compliance. | Specific one-time need | \$ 120,000,000 |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{\text {B80 }}$ |  |  |  | ADA Curb Ramps | Anticipated funding needed for strategic, programmatic approach to addressing non-accessible curb ramps that are not scheduled to be addressed through regular project delivery in support of the American's with Disabilities Act. |  | \$ 20,000,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| т80 |  |  |  | ADA Pedestrian Push Buttons | Anticipated funding needed for addressing non-compliant pedestrian push buttons, including upgrading existing pedestrian push button facilities to Accessible Pedestrian Signals as needed in support of the American's with Disabilities Act. |  | \$ 23,870,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

NOTE : Active Transportation Development Program Under Development

| Statewide Program - Multimodal Options Fund (MMOF) <br> Note:Please see transit development program for additional transit needs. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Project Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Project ID | Region | TPR | County | Project Name | Project Description | Notes | Total Project Cost | Other Funding | Other Funding Assumptions |  | $\stackrel{\text { ¿ٌ }}{\substack{0}}$ | $\stackrel{\widetilde{4}}{\underline{\underline{4}}}$ | $\stackrel{\text { 㜽 }}{\text { ¢ }}$ | $\frac{9}{4}$ |  |
| B-MM-1 | 1 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { Greater Denver } \\ \text { Area } \end{array}$ | Denver | East Colfax BRT | Bus Rapid Transit from I-25 to I-225 with dedicated transit lanes from Broadway Ave to Yosemite Ave | All MMOF Design to Budget | \$184M | \$ - |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |
| B-MM-2 | 1 | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { Greater Denver } \\ \text { Area } \end{array}\right.$ | Clear Creek | Idaho Springs <br> Parking and Transit <br> Center | Construct a parking garage and transit transfer center | All MMOF Design to Budget | \$15M | \$ | \$7.5M local funds leverages 57.5 M <br> MMOF match. Local sources <br> dincude <br> downton improvent <br> local transmporation ball district funds, <br> private funds. |  | x |  |  |  |  |
| B-MМ-3 | 1 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { Greater Denver } \\ \text { Area } \end{array}$ | Boulder/Weld/ Broomfield/Ad ams | SH 7, Downtown Boulder to Downtown Brighton | BRT, commuter bikeways, managed/express lanes, highway and other multimodal improvements to be determined from Boulder to Brighton. | All MMOF Design to Budget | \$352M | \$112,000,000 | See Project 143 for $\$ 100$ CDOT hwy funds match. Project 143 also includes $\$ 12 \mathrm{M}$ <br>  |  | x |  |  |  |  |
| B-MM-4 | 1 | $\left.\right\|_{\text {Greater Denver }} ^{\text {Area }}$ | Jefferson | US 6 Peaks to Plains Trail | The project of approximately 3-mile segment of trail, including bridges, creek acces points and a series of parking lots, will provide a currently non-existent bicycle and Canyon in support of safety for all. This is fundamental project goal for the rough miles of the P2P through the Canyon, of which approximately eight miles are either constructed or in the planning/design phase | All MMOF Design to Budget | \$30M | \$10,000,000 | \$20M local funds leverages $\$ 10 \mathrm{M}$ MMOF match. |  | x |  |  |  |  |
| В-Мм-5 | 2 | Pikes Peak Area | El Paso | Colorado Springs Downtown Transit Center | Purchase land, design, and construct a transit center in the downtown | All MMOF Design to Budget | \$20M | \$ | \$10M local funds leverages $\$ 10 \mathrm{M}$ MMOF match. Local sources include federal formula transit funds and local transportation authority funds |  | x |  |  |  |  |
| В-ММ-6 | 2 | Pueblo Area | Pueblo | Pueblo City Transit Maintenance and Administration Facility | Replace and relocate the existing transit maintenance and administration building | All MmOF Design to Budget | \$15M | \$ | \$7.5M local funds leverages $\$ 7.5 \mathrm{M}$ MMOF match. Local sources include ederal formula transit funds and local transportation balloo funds |  | x |  |  |  |  |
| В-ММ-7 | 2 | Pikes Peak Area | El Paso | Manitou Springs Transit Hub | Reconstruct a transit center and facility that will provide parking and multi-modal transportation services. | All MMOF Design to Budget | \$17M | \$ | \$8.5M local funds leverages $\$ 8.5 \mathrm{M}$ MMOF match. Local sources include city fund, parking fees, and private contributions, and regional transportation funds transportation funds |  | x |  |  |  |  |
| B-Mм-8 | 3 | Grand Valley | Mesa | North Avenue (US 6) Corridor Improvements (Grand Junction) | A series of transit accessibility/pedestrian improvements | All MMOF Design to Budget | \$14M | \% | \$7M local funds leverages \$7M MMOF match. Local sources include City sales tax, transportation impact fees, energy impact fees. Other local entities may also participate. The City intends to pursue federal competitive BUILD grant. |  | x |  |  |  |  |
| В-мм-9 | 3 | Intermountain | Garfield | RFTA Glenwood Maintenance Facility Expansion | Expansion of existing maintenance and administration facility | All MmOF Design to Budget | \$30M | \$ | \$15M local funds leverages $\$ 15 M$ MMOF match. oloca sources include remaining local bonding authority and/or agency reserves |  | x |  |  |  |  |
| B-MM-10 | 3 | Intermountain | Summit | Breckenridge Transit Station Rebuild | Rebuild the Town's intermodal transit center | All MMOF Design to Budget | \$10M | \$ | \$5M local funds leverages \$5M MMOF match. Local sources include general fund revenues from the City and other partner transit agencies |  | x |  |  |  |  |
| B-MM-11 | 3 | Northwest | Routt | Steamboat Springs <br> Transit Center <br> Renovation | Reconstruct a major transit center | All MMOF Design to Budget | \$18M | \$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \$9M local funds leverages S9M MMOF } \\ & \text { math. Loca soorces include the } \\ & \text { Urban Redevelopment Authority city } \\ & \text { transit funds, and private contributions } \end{aligned}$ |  | x |  |  |  |  |
| B-MM-12 | 3 | Grand Valley | Mesa | US 6 Corridor Transit Improvements (Mesa County) | Corridor improvements (Clifton to Fruita) to include transit signal priority, stop improvements, lighting, ADA, and other access improvements |  | \$11.30 | \$47,651,000 | See project 39 for $\$ 43 \mathrm{M}$ CDOT hwy funds that will leverage $\$ 11.3 \mathrm{M}$ MMOF match |  | x |  |  |  |  |
| В-MМ-83 | 4 | $\left.\right\|_{\text {Greater Denver }} ^{\text {Area }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Boulder/Broo } \\ & \text { mfield } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { US 287- from SH } 66 \\ \text { to US } 36 \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | BRT, commuter bikeways, managed/express lanes and other multimodal improvements | All MMOF Design to Budget | \$90M | \$45,000,000 | See new R4 project \# 170 for \$45M CDOT funds. \$6M Local Funds leverages $\$ 6 \mathrm{M}$ MMOF match |  | x |  |  |  |  |
| В-MM-82 | 4 | Greater Denver Area | Boulder | SH 42/95th Street | Potential devolution, safety and pedestrian improvements, BRT, commuter bikeways, and other multimodal improvements in Louisville and Lafayette. | All MMOF Design to Budget | \$27.4m | \$12,800,000 | See Project 141 for $\$ 12.3 \mathrm{M}$ in CDOT hwy funds, and .5M FASTER funds. Additionally, $\$ 7.3 \mathrm{M}$ local funds leverages $\$ 7.3 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{MMOF}$ match |  | x |  |  |  |  |



The Transit Development Program can be viewed here:
https://www.codot.gov/programs/planning/documents/plans-projects-reports/projects/dev-prgm/transit-rail-development-programs

